

Oinoandai Diogenész levelei az epikureus hagyományban  
[Epistles of Diogenes of Oenoanda in the Epicurean Tradition]

Author: Attila Németh

Published by: Pro Philosophia Foundation

Source: *Kellék*, 2023, No. 69, pp. 39–54

Stable URL:

[https://www.prophilosophia.ro/assets/files/kellek69/004nemeth\\_attila.pdf](https://www.prophilosophia.ro/assets/files/kellek69/004nemeth_attila.pdf)

DOI: 10.61901/Kellek.2023.69.04

### Abstract

This paper argues that Diogenes of Oenoanda was not only an innovator within the Epicurean epistolary tradition, but also of the genre itself. It explores the topic first by presenting an overview of the Epicurean tradition of epistles, and then by scrutinizing, on the one hand, how Diogenes' letters fit into this tradition of letter-writing and, on the other, the function of his epistles in relation to his Epicurean inscription.

Keywords: Diogenes of Oenoanda, Epicurus, epistolary tradition

### Bibliography

1. Jürgen Hammerstaedt – Pierre-Marie Morel – Refik Güremen (eds.): *Diogenes of Oinoanda. Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates*. Leuven University Press, Leuven, 2007, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctt1pwctnh>
2. Pamela Gordon: „Diogenes of Oenoanda”. In Phillip Mitsis: *The Oxford Handbook of Epicurus and Epicureanism*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2020, 531–548, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199744213.013.14>
3. Marcus Tullius Cicero: *De Natura Deorum*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511803628>. Magyarul: *Az istenek természete*. Fordította Havas László. Lazi Könyvkiadó, Szeged, 2004.

4. Marcus Tullius Cicero: *De finibus bonorum et malorum*. DOI: 10.4159/DLCL.marcus\_tullius\_cicero-de\_finibus\_bonorum\_et\_malorum.1914. Magyarul: *A legfőbb jóról és rosszról*. I–II. Latinul és magyarul. Fordította: Szekeres Csilla. Debreceni Egyetemi Kiadó, Debrecen, 2012.
5. *Herculaneum papyrus*.
6. Patricia A. Rosenmeyer: *Ancient Epistolary Fiction: The Letter in Greek Literature*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
7. Diskin Clay: A Lost Epicurean Community. *Greek, Roman, and Byzantine Studies*, 1989 (30):2, 313–335. Újranyomva: In Uő: *Paradosis & Survival. Three Chapters in the History of Epicurean Philosophy*. University of Michigan Press, Cambridge, 1998, 232–56.
8. Hermann Usener: *Epicurea*. Leipzig, 1887.
9. Anna Angeli (ed.): *Filodemo: Agli amici di scuola (PHerc. 1005)*. Napoli, 1988.
10. Francesco Verde: *Epicuro, Epistola a Pitocle*. In collaborazione con Mauro Tulli, Dino De Sanctis, Francesca G. Masi. Baden-Baden, 2022.
11. *Epistula ad Pythoclem [Levél Püthoklészhez]*.
12. Pamela Gordon: Epistolary Epicureans. In Owen Hodkinson – Patricia A. Rosenmeyer – Evelien Bracke (eds.): *Epistolary Narratives in Ancient Greek Literature*. Brill, Leiden–Boston, 2013, 133–151, DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004253032\\_007](https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004253032_007).
13. Margherita Erbi: *Lettere. Frammenti e Testimonianze. Epicuro*. Fabrizio Serra Editore, Pisa–Roma, 2020.
14. Brad Inwood: *Seneca. Selected Philosophical letters*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007.
15. Cyril Bailey: *Epicurus. The Extant Remains*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1926.
16. Diogenész Laertiosz: *A filozófiában jeleskedők élete és nézetei*. X.
17. Anthony A. Long – David N. Sedley: *A hellenisztikus filozófusok*. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 2014.
18. André Laks: Édition critique et commentée de la Vie d'Épicure dans Diogène Laërce (X 1–34). In Jean Bollack – André Laks (szerk.): *Études sur l'Épicurisme antique*. I, Presses Universitaires du Septentrion Lille, 1976, 1–118.
19. Diskin Clay: Epicurus' Last Will and Testament. *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie*, 1973 (55):3, 252–280. Újraközölve in Uő: *Paradosis & Survival*. Id. kiad., 3–31.
20. John T. Fitzgerald: Last Wills and Testaments in Graeco-Roman Perspective. In: John T. Fitzgerald – Thomas Olbricht, – L. Michael White: *Early Christianity and Classical Culture*. Brill, Leiden–Boston, 2003, 637–672, DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1163/9789047402190\\_031](https://doi.org/10.1163/9789047402190_031).

21. Jürgen Hammerstaedt – Martin Ferguson Smith: Diogenes of Oinoanda: The New and Unexpected Discoveries of 2017 (NF 214-219) with a Re-edition of Fr. 70-72. *Epigraphica Anatolica*, 2018 (51), 43–79.
22. Martin Ferguson Smith: *Diogenes of Oinoanda, The Epicurean Inscription*. Bibliopolis, Naples, 1993.
23. A. S. Hall: Who was Diogenes of Oenoanda? *Journal of Hellenic Studies*, 1979 (99), 160–163, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/630644>.
24. Christopher P. Jones: *Culture and Society in Lucian*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, 1986, 159, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4159/harvard.9780674181328>.
25. Attila Németh: *Epicurus on the Self*. Routledge, London – New York, 2017, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315207001>.
26. Németh Attila: Lucretius és a *similitudo divini*. In Kendeffy Gábor – Vassányi Miklós (szerk.): *Istenfogalom és istenérvek a világ filozófiai hagyományában*. Budapest, 2017, 314–24.
27. Margaret Robson Graver: *Therapeutic Reading and Seneca's "Moral Epistles"*. Doctoral thesis. Brown University, Providence, RI, 1996.
28. Ióannész Sztobaiosz: *Anthologion*.
29. Lásd Plutarkhosz: *Adversus Colotem*.
30. Geert Roskam: Diogenes' Polemical Approach, or How to Refute a Philosophical Opponent in an Epigraphic Context. In Hammerstaedt–Morel–Güremen (eds.): *Diogenes of Oinoanda. Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates*. Leuven University Press, Leuven, 2007, 241–270, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctt1pwtcnh.17>.